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## Overview

The 21st Century Community Learning Centers (CCLC) program in lowa implements before and after school enrichment opportunities designed to positively impact the academic and behavioral development of students, especially for those identified as being at risk. The Council Bluffs School District partners with its community to provide diverse activities from interest-based clubs, career exploration, and academic assistance to field trips, sports and family events. The district offers programming for all grade levels.

At the Elementary, SUPER Kids (Student University Promoting Education and Recreation) includes Franklin, Longfellow and Rue buildings (Cohort 10), Carter Lake and Roosevelt buildings (Cohort 12), Bloomer and Edison buildings (Cohort 13).
CB D.R.E.A.M.S (Developing Relationships Engaging All Middle Schoolers) includes both Kirn and Wilson Middle Schools (Cohort 9).
Ready by 21 includes Abraham Lincoln and Thomas Jefferson High Schools (Cohort 11).
This evaluation includes a summary of findings as outlined by the required Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) measures. Additionally, a local report on objectives has been included to assist in decision-making for CCLC teams.

## Data Analysis

Council Bluffs School District provided multiple sources of data for analysis: program participant information and demographics, attendance rate, English and Mathematics grades, NWEA MAP scores, and parent and staff survey responses.
Results are reported for Regular Attendees: students participating in CCLC programming 30 or more days in the 2018-19 school year.

GPRA Measure results and Local Objective outcomes consist of frequency counts and percentages to meet reporting requirements.

## Attendance

21st CCLC Program 2018-2019 School Year Attendance Summary Table

|  | Attendees | Total | Male | Female |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort 9: Kirn \& Wilson Middle Schools | All | 1202 | 625 | 577 |
|  | Regular | 300 | 151 | 149 |
| Cohort 10: Franklin, Longfellow \& Rue Elementary | All | 606 | 288 | 318 |
|  | Regular | 396 | 186 | 210 |
| Cohort 11: Abraham Lincoln \& Thomas Jefferson High | All | 1518 | 765 | 753 |
|  | Regular | 238 | 144 | 94 |
| Cohort 12: Carter Lake \& Roosevelt Elementary | All | 287 | 137 | 150 |
|  | Regular | 174 | 82 | 92 |

21st CCLC Program 2018-2019 School Year Attendance Ethnicity Table

|  | Attendees | White | Hispanic/ <br> Latino | American <br> Indian/ <br> Alaska <br> Native | Black/ <br> African <br> American | Asian/ <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Unknown <br> Race |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort 9: Kirn, <br> Wilson | All | 908 | 176 | 14 | 79 | 20 | 5 |
|  | Regular | 236 | 36 | 4 | 20 | 4 | 0 |
| Cohort 10: <br> Franklin, <br> Longfellow, Rue | All | Regular | 323 | 42 | 4 | 25 | 2 |
|  | All | 1143 | 228 | 18 | 81 | 23 | 25 |
|  | Regular | 187 | 28 | 2 | 17 | 4 | 0 |


| Cohort 12: Carter <br> Lake, Roosevelt | All | 219 | 24 | 4 | 38 | 2 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Regular | 134 | 10 | 3 | 25 | 2 | 0 |
| Cohort 13: <br> Bloomer, Edison | All | 311 | 51 | 9 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Regular | 184 | 22 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 0 |


| 21st CCLC Program 2018-2019 School Year Attendance Special Needs Table |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Attendees | LEP | FRP | Special Needs |
| Cohort 9: Kirn \& Wilson Middle Schools | All | 137 | 630 | 216 |
|  | Regular | 25 | 152 | 40 |
| Cohort 10: Franklin, Longfellow \& Rue Elementary | All | 60 | 221 | 91 |
|  | Regular | 29 | 145 | 59 |
| Cohort 11: Abraham Lincoln \& Thomas Jefferson High | All | 189 | 649 | 215 |
|  | Regular | 23 | 95 | 20 |
| Cohort 12: Carter Lake \& Roosevelt Elementary | All | 16 | 130 | 57 |
|  | Regular | 9 | 75 | 27 |
| Cohort 13: Bloomer \& Edison Elementary | All | 45 | 296 | 97 |
|  | Regular | 17 | 160 | 55 |

## Government Performance and Results Act Measures

Improvement in Mathematics (GPRA Measures 1-3)

| Assessment Tool Used: Northwest Evaluation Association <br> (NWEA) Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) and Grade <br> Reports | Number of Regular <br> Attendees Needing <br> Improvement | Number of <br> Students <br> Improved | Percentage of <br> Students <br> Improved |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The number of elementary 21st Century regular program <br> participants who improved in mathematics from fall to spring. | 293 | 260 | $88.74 \%$ |
| The number of middle/ high school 21st Century regular <br> program participants who improved in mathematics from fall <br> to spring. | 230 | 169 | $73.48 \%$ |
| The number of all 21st Century regular program participants <br> who improved in mathematics from fall to spring. | 523 | 429 | $82.03 \%$ |

At the Elementary level, data is based on 724 matched pairs where both fall and spring NWEA MAP scores were available. Students scoring below the typical RIT score for fall were considered to be needing improvement; $88.74 \%$ of those students improved their test scores.
At the Middle and High School level, data is based on 459 matched pairs of students in grades $9-11$ where both fall and spring NWEA MAP scores were available, and nine matched pairs of students in grade 12 where both fall and spring grades were available. Students scoring below the typical RIT score for fall were considered to be needing improvement, and students with a grade of D or F in the fall were considered to be needing improvement. $73.48 \%$ of those students improved their test score or grade.

The total percentage of regular attendees demonstrating improvement in Mathematics is $82.03 \%$
Improvement in English (GPRA Measures 4-6)

| Assessment Tool Used: Northwest Evaluation Association <br> (NWEA) Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) and Grade <br> Reports | Regular <br> Attendees <br> Needing <br> Improvement | Number of <br> Students <br> Improved | Percentage of <br> Students <br> Improved |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The number of elementary 21st Century regular program <br> participants who improved in English from fall to spring. | 265 | 201 | $75.85 \%$ |
| The number of middle/ high school 21st Century regular <br> program participants who improved in English from fall to | 207 | 140 | $68.97 \%$ |


| spring. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The number of all 21st Century regular program participants <br> who improved in English from fall to spring. | 472 | 341 | $72.24 \%$ |

At the Elementary level, data is based on 725 matched pairs where both fall and spring Reading MAP scores were available. Students scoring below the typical RIT score for fall were considered to be needing improvement; 75.85\% of those students improved their test scores.

At the Middle and High School level, data is based on 473 matched pairs of students in grades $9-11$ where both fall and spring Reading MAP scores were available, and 14 matched pairs of students in grade 12 where both fall and spring grades were available. Students scoring below the typical RIT score for fall were considered to be needing improvement. Students with a grade of D or F in the fall were considered to be needing improvement. $68.97 \%$ of those students improved their test scores.

The total percentage of regular attendees demonstrating improvement in Reading is $72.24 \%$.
Improvement in Proficiency (GPRA Measures 7-8)

| Assessment Tool Used: MAP | Number of Regular <br> Attendees Needing <br> Improvement in Fall | Number of Regular <br> Attendees Improving <br> in Spring | Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The number of elementary 21st Century regular <br> program participants who improve from below <br> expected levels to expected levels in reading. | 266 | 32 | $12.03 \%$ |
| The number of middle/ high school 21st Century <br> regular program participants who improve from below <br> expected levels to expected levels in math. | 226 | 84 | $37.17 \%$ |

Data based on 725 Elementary students in the fall and 721 students in the spring that were assessed with NWEA MAP Reading. At the Middle/ High School, 424 students were assessed with NWEA MAP Mathematics in the fall and 424 were assessed in the spring.
There were fewer elementary regular attendees scoring at expected levels in reading in the spring compared to the fall. At the middle/ high school level, more students were performing at expected levels in mathematics in the spring compared to the fall.

When tracking the number of students moving from below expected levels in the Fall to at/ above expected levels in the Spring, there was an improvement for $12.03 \%$ of elementary regular attendees in reading and $37.17 \%$ of middle/high school regular attendees in mathematics.

Homework and Class Participation (GPRA Measures 9-11)

| Assessment Tool Used: Teacher Surveys | Number of <br> Regular <br> Attendees | Number of <br> Students <br> Improved | Percentage of <br> Students <br> Improved |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The number of elementary 21st Century regular program | 368 | 74 | $20.11 \%$ |


| participants with teacher-reported improvement in homework <br> completion and class participation. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The number of middle/ high school 21st Century regular <br> program participants with teacher-reported improvement in <br> homework completion and class participation. | 177 | 62 | $35.03 \%$ |
| The number of all 21st Century regular program participants <br> with teacher-reported improvement in homework completion <br> and class participation. | 545 | 136 | $24.95 \%$ |

Of 368 teacher surveys at the elementary, 262 students maintained a satisfactory homework completion rate and 74 students improved their homework completion. This results in a positive rating for $91.30 \%$ for regular attendees on homework completion. Also at the elementary, 240 students maintained their class participation and 111 students improved their participation. This results in a positive rating for $95.38 \%$ of regular attendees on class participation.

Of 177 teacher surveys at the middle/ high school, 98 students maintained a satisfactory homework completion rate and 62 students improved their homework completion. This results in a positive rating for $90.40 \%$ of regular attendees on homework completion. Also at the middle/ high school, 85 students maintained their class participation and 79 students improved their participation. This results in a positive rating for $92.66 \%$ of regular attendees on class participation.

## Student Behavior (GPRA Measures 12-14)

| Assessment Tool Used: Teacher Surveys | Number of <br> Regular <br> Attendees | Number of <br> Students <br> Improved | Percentage of <br> Students <br> Improved |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The number of elementary 21st Century regular program <br> participants with teacher-reported improvements in student <br> behavior. | 368 | 85 | $23.10 \%$ |
| The number of middle/high school 21st Century regular program <br> participants with teacher-reported improvements in student <br> behavior. | 177 | 79 | $44.63 \%$ |
| The number of all 21st Century regular program participants <br> with teacher-reported improvements in student behavior. | 545 | 164 | $30.09 \%$ |

Of 368 teacher surveys at the elementary, 235 students were rated as Maintained on the item, "Behaving Well in Class" and 85 students were rated as Improved. This results in a positive rating for $86.96 \%$ for regular attendees on student behavior.
Of 177 teacher surveys at the middle/ high school, 90 students were rated as Maintained on the item, "Behaving Well in Class" and 79 students were rated as Improved. This results in a positive rating for $95.48 \%$ of regular attendees on student behavior.

## LOCAL REPORT ON OBJECTIVES

## Data Analysis || Student Achievement- Mathematics

Greater than $30 \%$ of Regular Attendees will demonstrate typical growth from fall to spring on Math MAP assessments.

| NWEA MAP Math Results- Grades 2 through 11 | Demonstrating Typical <br> Growth | Demonstrating Less than <br> Typical Growth |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort 9 <br> 284 assessed in both fall and spring 2018-19 | 148 <br> $(52.11 \%)$ | 136 <br> $(47.88 \%)$ |
| Cohort 10 | 115 |  |
| 367 assessed in both fall and spring 2018-19 | $(31.34 \%)$ | 252 <br> $(68.66 \%)$ |
| Cohort 11 | 49 <br> 107 assessed in both fall and spring 2018-19 | 58 <br> $(54.79 \%)$ |
| Cohort 12 | $(27.33 \%)$ | 109 <br> 150 assessed in both fall and spring 2018-19 |
| Cohort 13 | 57 <br> 195 assessed in both fall and spring 2018-19 | $(29.23 \%)$ |

## Data Analysis || Student Achievement- Reading

Greater than $30 \%$ of Regular Attendees will demonstrate typical growth from fall to spring on Reading MAP assessments.

| NWEA MAP Reading Results- Grades 2 through 11 | Demonstrating Typical <br> Growth | Demonstrating Less than <br> Typical Growth |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort 9 <br> 286 assessed in both fall and spring 2018-19 | 146 <br> $(51.23 \%)$ | 139 <br> $(48.60 \%)$ |


| Cohort 10 | 102 <br> $(27.72 \%)$ | 266 <br> $(72.28 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort 11 assessed in both fall and spring 2018-19 | 48 <br> $(43.24 \%)$ | 63 <br> $(56.76 \%)$ |
| Cohort 12 | 42 <br> $(27.81 \%)$ | 109 <br> 151 assessed in both fall and spring 2018-19 |
| Cohort 13 | 55 <br> 195 assessed in both fall and spring 2018-19 | $18.21 \%)$ |
| Total Regular Attendee | 393 <br> 1111 assessed in both fall and spring 2018-19 | $(35.37 \%)$ |

## Data Analysis || Student Engagement

In-school average daily attendance rate for regular attendees will be within 10 percentage points of the school average.

|  | Median Annual School Attendance Rate for <br> Regular Attendees | Average Annual School Attendance Rate <br> for All Students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort 9 | $97.14 \%$ | $94.61 \%$ |
| Cohort 10 | $97.14 \%$ | $95.95 \%$ |
| Cohort 11 | $97.14 \%$ | $93.60 \%$ |
| Cohort 12 | $97.14 \%$ | $95.58 \%$ |
| Cohort 13 | $96.57 \%$ | $96.16 \%$ |
| TOTALS | $95.95 \%$ | $94.67 \%$ |

## Disciplinary incidents for in-school behavior of regular attendees will be less than

 the school average.|  | Average Discipline Referrals for <br> Regular Attendees | Average Discipline Referrals for <br> All Students |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort 9 | 2.04 | 2.44 |
| Cohort 10 | 1.34 | 1.38 |
| Cohort 11 | .78 | 1.06 |


| Cohort 12 | 1.34 | 1.95 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cohort 13 | 1.64 | 2.27 |
| TOTALS | 1.52 | 1.71 |

## Data Analysis || Parent Feedback

Of the parents responding, $50 \%$ will indicate via survey that the program had a positive impact on their child's educational growth.

|  | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Parents responding "Agree" or "Strongly Agree" to the item: The activities had a <br> positive impact on my child (i.e. educational, career focus and social skill <br> development) | 237 | $97.13 \%$ |

There were a total of 244 parent surveys returned.

## Data Analysis || Postsecondary Outcomes- Cohort 11

Percent of regular attendees enrolling in postsecondary institutions (college, trade schools, et.) the first fall after graduation will be within $10 \%$ of the district's average.

| Students Enrolling in Postsecondary Institutions | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Regular Attendee |  |  |
| District Average |  |  |

National Student Clearinghouse data (Marty-- may have to wait until November)
Percent of regular attendees graduating with their 4-year cohort will be within 10\% of the district's average.

| Students graduating with 4-year cohort | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Regular Attendee | 33 | $76.7 \%$ |
| District Average |  | $87.01 \%$ |

Data based on 43 Regularly Attending Seniors.

## Data Analysis || Additional Objectives

21st CCLC will provide nutritious after school snacks to all attendees.

|  | Total Snacks <br> Made Available | Participants <br> Taking Snack | Participants <br> Not Taking <br> Snack | Percent Taking <br> Provided Snack |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort 9: Kirn and Wilson MIddle School | 30,026 | 17,499 | 12,527 | $58.28 \%$ |
| Cohort 10: Franklin, Longfellow, and Rue <br> Elementary | 39,722 | 35,560 | 4,162 | $89.52 \%$ |
| Cohort 11: Abraham Lincoln and Thomas <br> Jefferson High | 26,790 | 11,624 | 15,166 | $43.39 \%$ |
| Cohort 12: Carter Lake and Roosevelt <br> Elementary | 20,497 | 14,927 | 5,570 | $72.83 \%$ |
| Cohort 13: Bloomer and Edison Elementary | 24,189 | 20,647 | 3,542 | $85.36 \%$ |
| Totals | 141,224 | 100,257 | 40,967 | $71 \%$ |

Data held in Youth Services.

21st CCLC will hold at least four family education events throughout the calendar year. Attendance will be tracked.

| Date | Event | Cohort | Attendance |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $6 / 27$ | Common Sense Parenting - "Teaching Your Child Self Discipline" | $10,12,13$ | 38 |
| $7 / 25$ | Common Sense Parenting - "Setting Your Kids Up for School Success" | $10,12,13$ | 29 |
| $11 / 27$ | Durham Museum Family Night | $10,12,13$ | 68 |
| $8 / 10$ | 6th Grade Boot Camp Breakfast | 9 | 30 |
| $8 / 10$ | 6th Grade Boot Camp Lunch | 9 | 40 |
| $10 / 11$ | Lights On Afterschool @ Skinny Bones Pumpkin Patch | $10,12,13$ | 42 |
| $4 / 11$ | YMCA Family Night | all | 150 |
| $4 / 13$ | Fine Arts Festival | $10,12,13$ | 50 |
| $3 / 30$ | ISU Latino Family Visit | 11 | 11 |


| $3 / 14$ | Lynx Family Wellness Night | 9 | 250 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | 708 |

A Family Education event was held for every cohort throughout the year with a total attendance of 708. Data held in Youth Services.

21st CCLC will provide a minimum of 30 summer school days (between $4-6$ hours daily).

|  | Time | Hours |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary School | 8:30 a.m. - 3:00 p.m. | 7.5 hours |
| Middle School | 8:00 a.m. - 2:00 p.m. | 6 hours |
| High School (LYFT) | 9:00 a.m.- 4:00 p.m. | 7 hours |
| High School (Credit <br> Recovery) | 9:00 a.m. - 1:00 p.m. | 4 hours |


| Dates |
| :---: |
| June 10-28 Monday - Friday (15 days) |
| July 8-26 Monday - Friday (15 days) |
| Total of 30 days between 4 and 7.5 <br> hours daily |

Data held in Youth Services.

21st CCLC will track juvenile arrests from 2:00-5:00 p.m. over time.

| Time of Day | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2:00 p.m. | 60 | 70 | 50 | 52 | 57 | 54 | 33 | 40 | 49 |
| 3:00 p.m. | 60 | 49 | 38 | 54 | 49 | 31 | 26 | 24 | 29 |
| 4:00 p.m. | 48 | 47 | 47 | 62 | 50 | 36 | 23 | 36 | 49 |
| 5:00 p.m. | 77 | 67 | 38 | 40 | 58 | 34 | 48 | 27 | 30 |
| Total per <br> year | 245 | 233 | 173 | 208 | 214 | 155 | 130 | 127 | 157 |

Data collected by CB Police Department.

## Summary of Objectives

| COHORTS 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Objective | Objective Rating | Methodology/ Justification for Rating |
| Student Achievement <br> Greater than 30\% of Regular Attendees will <br> demonstrate typical growth from fall to spring <br> on Math MAP assessments. | Objective Met | $37.17 \%$ of regular attendees demonstrated <br> typical or greater than typical growth on MAP <br> assessments in Math based on 2015 MAP norms. <br> Lowest growth rates were observed for Cohorts <br> 12 and 13. Highest growth rates were observed <br> for Cohort 9. |
| Student Achievement <br> Greater than 30\% of Regular Attendees will <br> demonstrate typical growth from fall to spring <br> on Reading MAP assessments. | Objective Met | 35.37\% of regular attendees demonstrated <br> typical or greater than typical growth on MAP <br> assessments in Reading based on 2015 MAP <br> norms. <br> Lowest growth rates were observed for Cohorts <br> 10,12, and 13. Cohort 9 showed the highest <br> growth rate. |
| Student Engagement <br> In-school average daily attendance rate for <br> regular attendees will be within 10 percentage <br> points of the school average. | Objective Met | Data held in PowerSchool and Youth Services <br> indicate that the median attendance rate for <br> Regular Attendees was 95.95\% compared to the <br> all student average of 94.67\% |
| Student Engagement <br> Disciplinary incidents for in-school behavior of <br> regular attendees will be less than the school <br> average. | Objective Met | Data held in PowerSchool and Youth Services <br> indicate that the average number of discipline <br> referrals for regular attendees is 1.52 which is <br> less than the overall school average of 1.71. |
| Parent Feedback <br> Of the parents responding, 50\% will indicate via <br> survey that the program had a positive impact <br> on their child's educational growth. | Objective Met | Electronic parent surveys reveal that 97.13\% of <br> parents agree or strongly agree that CCLC <br> activities had a positive impact on their child <br> (educational, career focus and social skill <br> development). |
| COHORT 11 ONLY |  |  |


| Student Achievement <br> Percent of regular attendees enrolling in postsecondary institutions (college, trade schools, etc) the first fall after graduation will be within $10 \%$ of the district's average. | Objective Met | The National Student Clearinghouse data indicates that $53.49 \%$ of regular attendees in 2018-19 enrolled in a post-secondary institution to date. This compares to the district average of 52.15\% of graduates from Council Bluffs enrolling in a post-secondary institution within one year of graduation. <br> There is the possibility that more regular attendees students may enroll within the rest of this year. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Achievement <br> Percent of regular attendees graduating with their 4 -year cohort will be within $10 \%$ of the district's average. | Objective Met | Data held in PowerSchool is used to track this progress. <br> $77 \%$ of regular attending seniors graduated with their 4-year cohort in 2018-19. This compares to $87 \%$ in 2017-18 and 65\% in 2016-17. <br> $87 \%$ of all district seniors graduated with their 4-year cohort in 2018-19. |
| Additional Objective | Objective Rating | Methodology/ Justification for Rating |
| 21st CCLC will provide nutritious after school snacks to all attendees. | Objective Met | Youth Services data show a total of 141,224 snacks provided across all CCLC programming. The highest percent of snacks taken by students was at Cohorts 10 and 13 . The fewest number of snacks taken by students was at Cohort 11. |
| 21st CCLC will hold at least four family education events throughout the calendar year. Attendance will be tracked. | Objective Met | Data held in Youth Services indicate a total of nine family education events involving every cohort and attended by a total of 558 participants. |
| 21st CCLC will provide a minimum of 30 summer school days (between 4-6 hours daily). | Objective Met | Youth Services information documents a total of 30 summer school days at the elementary, middle and high school levels, ranging in length from four to 7.5 hours. |
| The 21st CCLC Grant will track juvenile arrests from 2:00-5:00 p.m. over time. | Objective Met | Juvenile arrest counts have been provided by the Council Bluffs Police Department for the past nine years. While the number of incidents from 2:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. increased slightly from last year, juvenile arrests for 2019 are well below the average for all years. |

## Recommendations

Student participation in after school programming decreases from Kindergarten ( $76 \%$ of students regularly attending) to high school ( $14 \%$ ), with highest attrition in transitions from elementary to middle school (drop of 28\%) and middle school to high school (drop of $13 \%$ ). What risk factors might serve as an early warning system to recruit students most at need for engagement at the secondary level?

In what ways is staff stability monitored and longitudinal service rewarded?

What professional learning opportunities are available for CCLC staff at all levels?

The transition from the end of the school day to the start of after-school programming appears to be free time in many cases. How might the transition be structured to maximize the impact of contact hours?

